

U. S. Treasury Department.


Mr. Hume is still laboring under
a misapprehension. If his
method produced all that he
claims for it, it would still be
valueless to the U. S. Mint.

Much of what is stated in his
letter of the 16th inst is incorrect
but not wishing to enter ^{into} a long
and profitless discussion of the
merits and demerits of his method,
I think it sufficient to say that
we do not aim for the brighter
graver cut and more character appear

for coins. That effect is good
for jewelry but very inappropriate
for coins.

His claim is for the protection of the
die surface, and we have methods
for protecting our dies as much as
we desire or is requisite for
coin and medal die work.

I do not wish to doubt his knowledge
or experience as an engraver but
it is certainly in some other branch
than ours.



U.S. Treasury Department.

Mr. Haney is still laboring under a misapprehension. If his method produced all that he claims for it, it would still be valueless to the U.S. Mint. Much of what is stated in his letter of the 16th inst. is incorrect, but not wishing to enter into a long and profitless discussion of the merits and demerits of his method. I think it sufficient to say that we do not aim for the bright graver cut and chased effect for coins. That effect is good for jewelry but very inappropriate for coins.

His claim is for the protection of the die surface, and we have methods for protecting our dies as much as we desire or is requisite for coin and medal die work. I do not wish to doubt his knowledge or experience as an engraver but it is certainly in some other branch than ours.